

# **The Social Life of Artist Residencies: working with people and places not your own**

---

*Written by Marnie Badham*

Residencies typically provide artists with the required time and space away from their usual obligations of everyday life to research, develop and produce new creative work. They may also provide a range of resources like a studio or equipment and connections with creative peers or new audiences. Over the past two decades, there has been a worldwide increase in the number of residencies and their diverse forms.[1] This article explores what I describe as the social life of artist residencies by drawing attention to the communal relationships developed between fellow residency artists and by means of artistic and institutional practices that seek to engage with communities. On the one hand, thinking about social form can include retreats, colonies, and artist-run initiatives, which may take a particular topical curatorial focus such as sustainability. On the other hand, cultural institutions may extend their interests in public engagement by hosting creatives in cultural heritage houses, galleries or universities or through community interventions stemming from cross-sectoral partnerships by placing artists at work in hospitals, prisons, remote communities, or in national parks.

While artist residencies seemed to once be an endeavor of creative solitude, the preoccupation with ‘the social’ in contemporary residencies can be located in the historical lineage of early artist colonies and systems of social exchange.[2] Since the turn of the century, artists have sought the inspiration of the utopian landscape - leaving industrialised cities through both retreat and patronage to find good working conditions.[3] Nowadays, many international residencies aim to provide opportunities for socially and politically motivated artists to develop site-responsive projects for public interaction with local

asdada

community members. The recent unpredictable explosion of <sup>aasd</sup> ~~artist residencies~~ has produced a range of social forms over the last century: shifting from what can be described as a more traditional institutional model of patronage with artists laboring on material works in isolated studios – to contemporary forms of social practice projects working collectively or by making art with local communities to explore contemporary global concerns. This shift from the individual to the collaborative and the private to the public in residencies extends the ‘social turn,’ which now permeates the contemporary art world.[4]

Offering a broad ranging typology of residencies as ‘social form’ by drawing on local and global examples, this paper first explores the historical social roots of residencies and then considers community-engaged, institutional, and international forms, before describing the re-emerging trend of artists seeking sustainable practices at a local scale. Political shifts from most Western governments over recent decades towards the democratisation of the arts position institutional interests also on engagement between artists and the public. This broader social impact in the arts makes way for the consideration for alternative artist-run initiatives and new contemporary social art practices. The paper concludes with both ‘itinerant’ and ‘localvore’ approaches to how artists practice through a new category of residency theorised as ‘life-as-practice’.

An expanded social role for art, artists, and institutions

The relationship between art making and community making has played out by artists through a range of aesthetic forms described as relational, situational, participatory, or public practice leading to what was first described by art critic Claire Bishop as the ‘social turn’ in the arts.[5] Typically working outside of the gallery system in neighbourhoods or community centres, socially engaged artists use people and their contexts as form and content of

asdada

their artworks to draw attention to contemporary political issues and to affect local social conditions. This wildly diverse and expanding field of socially-engaged arts has infiltrated almost every element of the arts industry including growing government and philanthropic funding, post-secondary arts education, and the way cultural institutions such as art galleries and museums do their business. While many artists have opened up their aesthetic processes moving past the more traditional commercial or material interests, so too have the institutions which fund, present, and disseminate contemporary art practice now become interested in stimulating increased social interaction in the arts.

Bishop's 'social turn' in contemporary art can be extended into a broader landscape of community arts and cultural development, theorists have been unable to define a particular style, form or aesthetic; but like any other discipline, this approach to practice is underpinned by a particular set of principles and ethics.[6] This 'social turn' includes a range of collaborative practices with my research drawing particular attention to "practices [that] are less interested in a relational aesthetic than in the creative rewards of collective activity".[7] Maria Lind's 'collaborative turn' is also useful frame in which she explains a number of relational, dialogic, participatory, social and connective approaches in art making. Lind argues the collaborative turn is enacted by artists "as a way of creating room for its practitioners to manoeuvre around instrumentalizing effects of both the art market and publicly financed art alike." [8]

Aiming to reconfigure the relationship between art, artists and audiences, artist Suzanne Lacy described the progression of this practice a decade earlier as *New Genre Public Art*: "For the past three or so decades visual artists of varying backgrounds and perspectives

asdada

have been working in a manner that resembles political \_\_\_\_\_ and social activity but [which] is distinguished by its aesthetic sensibility.”[9] A diverse spectrum of practice is purposefully described here: extending from forms of community arts and cultural development to social practice and experimental art. Kim Charnley suggests that within the field, “clear tensions are involved in current understanding of artists’ attempts to engage with the social through some form of collaborative, dialogic or relational practice.”[10] These tensions she refers to can be located in art historical propositions and binary debates valuing collaborative processes and community engagement over aesthetic outcomes.[11]

#### Artist residency as social form

By exploring this expanded social role and responsibility of artists intervening in the contemporary issues of society, the examination of artist residencies brings together key questions in socially engaged arts practice regarding shared labour, authorship and the relationships between artists, communities and institutions as they navigate this ‘social turn’. As these artists focus on the politically charged issues within the interrelated contexts of environmental disaster, mass migration and global conflict, they also engage with local communities to make sense of these pressing issues. Examining the relationship between international artist residencies with these recent developments of socially engaged arts practice can not only help us understand their social value, but also mitigate unintended negative consequences of working in this way.

While many artist residency programs claim social outcomes through local engagement, there is sometimes an uneasy

asdada

relationship between artistic, institutional and community  
aasd  
~~motivations. The risk of instrumentalising the arts and~~  
clarity of stakeholder expectations within the often  
unpredictable creative and community process of socially  
engaged arts require careful negotiation. These are both  
practical and conceptual concerns for stakeholders to  
consider including the potential for harm when institutional  
hosts invite guest artists to engage with communities not  
their own. These concerns can be amplified when artists are  
travelling to new cultural contexts or wanting to make work  
with vulnerable communities about issues they are less  
familiar with.

International residencies can encourage cultural movement  
through short term relocation of artists[12] and it has been  
argued they can assist governments and organisations to  
build relations[13] through promotion of cultural diplomacy  
aims of exchange and engagement. These opportunities have  
bred a new genre of itinerant artist with transnational  
practice and socially engaged artists are seeking  
international residencies less for isolation and more for  
experiences of 'the local' in communities not their own.  
International residencies today have become less about  
supporting isolated practice of artists and more about using  
art as a way collectively responding to the global  
challenges of our time.

Many arts organisations and funding programs now include  
residencies for both local and international artists,  
investing in social value by connecting  
politically-motivated artists with programs concerned with  
community engagement and citizen empowerment. While many  
cities and local governments previously funded festivals to  
showcase local culture and attract cultural tourism,  
residencies have been a popular ingredient in promoting  
cultural diplomacy and intercultural understanding as of

late. Kocache argues these residencies encompass a new movement towards the interdisciplinary, observing the expansion of art “from a fine arts-based practice to one that spills into and borrows from the spheres of the humanities and the social sciences, which suggest the development of new critical, discursive and ideological residency models.”[14] These practices may take the form of short-term self-directed activities or cross-sectoral partnerships addressing local issues by collaborating with justice, health or international development. This is a substantial shift in the cultural landscape, establishing renewed relationships between art, institutions, and communities, reminiscent of the social motivations of the community arts movement over previous decades in many Western countries, but perhaps with increased attention to aesthetics more recently.

Critics Hal Foster[15] and Claire Bishop[16] have each called for the analysis of the roles of institutions and government in shaping the forms and agendas of arts practice.[17] While sponsorship and public funding brings professional opportunities and better working conditions for artists, there are often broader neoliberal agendas tied up with these resources. Government funded residencies claim to achieve broad reaching social goals including established opportunities for audience development, public education, and contribution to ‘soft’ cultural diplomacy.[18] Residencies have become an important part of public engagement mandates of institutional bodies; however, as Martin Mulligan and Pia Smith have argued “there are huge cultural differences in the ways in which local government authorities and community art practitioners go about their work.”[19]

asdada

Working across sectors and international destinations,  
<sup>aasd</sup>  
~~residencies are reportedly largely positive experiences for~~  
artist and hosts, but they can also require specialised skills to navigate the complex bureaucracies and ensuing relationships. Some practices are more aligned to these ways of working such as relationship building across time and therefore develop projects that can engage multiple stakeholders, which may have competing interests. A closer examination of residencies through the concepts of hospitality and conviviality may be useful to interrogate of the relationship between motivations of the 'artist guest' and 'institutional host' that configure artist residencies.

#### Retreats and Colonies: histories of patronage and social economy

Residential forms of today's 'sharing economy' rely on the communal interests of tenants, travelers and hosts to live in co-operative and intentional community lifestyles with neighbours who share similar values outside of mainstream societal processes. It may be useful to think though the social form of artist retreats and colonies that now influence forms of collective housing. From the idyllic rural working artist communes such as the MacDowall Colony in New Hampshire, USA and the Banff Centre for the Arts and Creativity in Alberta, Canada, to artist communes like Monsalvat or Dunmoochin in Victoria, Australia, these residential initiatives serve - on very different scales - as social alternatives to mainstream cultural economies.

Under historic systems of sponsorship, artists sought retreat from the everyday life with an interest in isolation to find good working conditions.[20] Colonies are often built in natural settings, with artists working side-by-side with their peers across all art forms while their physical requirements are supported in the form of shared meals and basic lodging. These social forms have functioned under systems of patronage, shared labour, and often untraditional yet practical work-live spaces. Internationally, there are hundreds of residential centres today founded as artistic, communal, or intentional communities. More than one hundred years ago, a farmhouse was erected on the woodlands of New

asdada

Hampshire to become the infamous MacDowell Colony. This <sup>aasd</sup>~~‘incubator’ for the creative arts was built on the vision of~~ composer Edward MacDowell and his wife Marian. It was founded on the premise and maintained that this home was to be “a colony where working conditions most favourable to the production of enduring works of the imagination, shall be provided for creative artists.”[21] Slowly building each studio one at a time, the MacDowall Colony resulted in the thirty-two studios, which remain scattered across the 450 acres of meadow and forests today. These retreats and colonies arose in the second half of the 1800s across Europe and America. Artists chased the inspiration of the utopian landscape by the invitation of wealthy patrons to produce a new work of art adopting a more social economy aligned with communal working and living off the land. Artist colonies have been described as a kind of utopia of natural beauty and creativity with artists leaving industrial cities.[22]

Nearby in the Rocky Mountains, the Banff Centre for Art and Creativity is arguably host to one of the world’s largest and most diverse multidisciplinary residency programmes. With systems of artist-paid tuition and philanthropic, government and business sponsorship, Banff Centre offers a range of residency models including themed group pedagogic experiences. Sheltered away in the woods of the campus, the Leighton Artists’ Colony hosts self-directed residencies for artists. Time at the colony “offer[s] artists the ability to delve into their work as a solitary retreat, as well as the option to engage within the larger artistic community.”[23] Located up the mountain away from the hustle of tourist Banff, artists’ are provided simple yet practical lodgings and work space.

As importantly, social care is taken by having access to peers for debriefing the work day over shared meals. This is the model provided by St Peter’s Artist and Writers Colonies in nearby Saskatchewan, also in Canada. Abbot Peter at St Peter’s Abbey in Muenster, explains the artist colonies as short term but intensive social encounters that lead often to artistic collaboration and relationships. When asked about what motivates the Benedictine monastery to host



asdada

artists and writers on site in partnership with Canadian <sup>aasd</sup>  
~~Artists representation (CARFAC) Sask and the Saskatchewan~~  
Writers Guild, he offers a smile with his explanation, “We have something beautiful here, why wouldn’t we want to share it?” and goes on to discuss the relationship between the church, state and support for the arts.[24] St Peter’s Abbey continues this system of patronage but also through sharing economy. Artists, writers, and students can work on their organic farm for exchange of room and board.

Over in Australia within the bushlands of Parks Victoria, an hour’s drive out of Melbourne, the feeling of isolation and inspiration quickly connects one back through histories of artist retreats and colonies. This is not a distant memory in the uncultivated rolling hills of Nillumbik’s ‘green wedge shire,’ where many artists live today in close relationship with nature. This romantic desire to escape urban life in search of the beauty of the rural landscape can be traced back to early colonial settlements in Australia but continues today with those looking for a ‘tree change.’ The cultural heritage of the area boasts historical colonies of Monsalvat and Dunmoochin. The Jorgensen and Skipper families founded Monsalvat in 1934 to support all forms of the arts and, today, the historic property continues as a creative hub and cultural tourism destination in the Yarra Valley. Not far away, the collaborative efforts of Dunmoochin[25] began in the 1950s through the group purchase of land in Cottles Bridge. Clifton Pugh, one of the colony’s most reputable artists, bequeathed a considerable art collection and residential properties so that today the Dunmoochin Foundation can continue supporting artists, researchers and environmentalists.

These artist colonies are examples of the reliance on investment of patrons but also commodity exchange amongst artists. But it could be argued these ways of organizing work and life also initiated a trend of artist mobility. Commissioned artists travelled to work and live at the residence of a patron to seek both solitude to complete their work and commune in shared values for working conditions. Today, time working in a colony or residential

asdada

program is an important professional step in many artists' development.

---

xxx

*The second part of this article will appear in Seismopolite issue 19.*

*Marnie Badham is a Vice Chancellor's Post Doctoral Research Fellow and Lecturer in the Master of Arts programme in Art in Public Space. Badham is also Deputy Director of CAST Centre for Art, Society, and Transformation School of Art, College of Design and Social Context, RMIT University in Melbourne, Australia.*

NOTES

---

[1] Eric Hagoort et al. eds "The ON-AiR Workshops Manual". Netherlands, Trans Artists. Accessed 8 August 2017  
[http://transartists.org/sites/default/files/attachments/On\\_AiR\\_Manual\\_Workshops.pdf](http://transartists.org/sites/default/files/attachments/On_AiR_Manual_Workshops.pdf)

---

asdada

[2] Moukhtar Kocache, "Setting the Record Straight: Towards a More Nuanced Conversation on Residencies and Capital."

*Artzine Winter* (2012). Accessed 8 August 2017 at

[http://arteeast.org/quarterly/setting-the-record-straight-towards-a-more-nuanced-conversation-on-residencies-and-capital/?issue\\_s\\_season=winter&issues\\_year=2012](http://arteeast.org/quarterly/setting-the-record-straight-towards-a-more-nuanced-conversation-on-residencies-and-capital/?issue_s_season=winter&issues_year=2012)

[3] Nina Lubben. *Rural artists' colonies in Europe, 1870-1910*. Manchester University Press, 2001.

[4] Marnie Badham. "The Turn to Community: Exploring the political and relational in the arts." *Journal of Arts & Communities* 5, no. 2-3 (2013): 93-104.

[5] Claire Bishop. "The social turn: Collaboration and its discontents." *Artforum* 44, no. 6 (2005): 178.

[6] Arlene Goldbard. *New creative community: The art of cultural development*. New Village Press, 2006.

[7] Bishop, 2006, 179.

[8] Johanna Billing, Maria Lind, and Lars Nilsson, eds. *Taking the matter into common hands: on contemporary art and collaborative practices*. Black Dog Publishing, 2007.

[9] Suzanne Lacy. "Cultural pilgrimages and metaphoric journeys." *Mapping the terrain: New genre public art* (1995): 19-47.

[10] Kim Charnley, "Dissensus and the politics of collaborative practice." *Art & the Public Sphere* 1, no. 1 (2011): 37-53. 37

[11] Grant Kester. "Another turn (The 'Social Turn-Collaboration and Its Discontents' by Claire Bishop)." *Artforum International* 44, no. 9 (2006): 22-22.

asdada

[12] Anna Ptak ed. RE-tooling RESIDENCIES: A Closer Look at the Mobility of Art Professionals. (CCA Ujazdowski Castle & A-I-R Laboratory-Web Design: Berber Studio, Warsaw, 2011) 272.

---

[13] Ien Ang, Yudhishthir Raj Isar, and Phillip Mar. "Cultural diplomacy: beyond the national interest?." *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 21, no. 4 (2015): 365-381.

[14] Kocache, 2012.

[15] Hal Foster. *The Return of the Real: The Avant-garde at the End of the Century*. MIT Press, 1996.

[16] Bishop, 2012.

[17] Marnie Badham, 2013, 98.

[18] Penelope Aitken and Swee Lim. eds "35,000 days in Asia: the Asialink Arts Residency Program", Melbourne : Asialink Centre, University of Melbourne, 2004. 59 pages; Ien Ang, Yudhishthir Raj Isar, and Phillip Mar, (2005).

[19] Martin Mulligan and Pia Smith. "Art, governance and the turn to community: Lessons from a national action research project on community art and local government in Australia." *Journal of Arts & Communities* 2, no. 1 (2011): 27-40.

[20] Nina Lubben. 2001.

[21] Carter Wiseman. *A place for the arts: the MacDowell Colony, 1907-2007*. University of New England, 2006, 10.

[22] Laura Kenins. Escapists and jet-setters: residencies and sustainability, C Magazine, The Visual Arts Foundation: 2013, 8.

asdada

aasd

---

[23] The Banff Centre for the Arts and Creativity accessed May 14, 2015 at [www.banffcentre.ca/programs](http://www.banffcentre.ca/programs).

[24] Abbot Peter, St Peter's Abbey, interview with author July 2015, Muenster Saskatchewan.

[25] Dunmoochin spoken phonetically in English sounds like 'done mooching' suggesting living there could end the economic concerns of artists requiring patronage by living communally.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abbot Peter, St Peter's Abbey, interview with author July 2015, Muenster Saskatchewan.

Access Gallery, 23 Days at Sea, accessed 17 August 2017  
<http://www.transartists.org/air/access-gallery>

Aitken, Penelope and Lim, Swee. eds "35,000 days in Asia: the Asialink Arts Residency Program", Melbourne : Asialink Centre, University of Melbourne, 2004. 59 pages; Ien Ang, Yudhishtir Raj Isar, and Phillip Mar, (2005).

Ang, Ien, Yudhishtir Raj Isar, and Phillip

---

asdada

Mar. "Cultural diplomacy: beyond the national interest?." *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 21, no. 4 (2015): 365-381.

Artist Placement Group (APG) Accessed on 5 August 2017  
<http://en.contextishalfthework.net/about-apg/artist-placement-group/>

Badham, Marnie. et al. 'Forms for Encounter and Exchange: An Artist's Field School at Laughing Waters Artist Residency' *Unlikely Fieldwork* (Special Edition Issue 2, 2017).

Badham, Marnie 'The Cultural Value of Laughing Waters Artist Residency: artists connecting to people and place'. in *Laughing Waters Road: Art, Landscape and Memory in Eltham* exhibition catalogue and art. 2016 Clare Longato ed. Victoria, Australia, Nillumbik Shire Council.

Badham, Marnie. "The Turn to Community: Exploring the political and relational in the arts." *Journal of Arts & Communities* 5, no. 2-3 (2013): 93-104.

Bialski, Paula. in *Transient Spaces: The Tourist Syndrome*,

asdada

ed Marina Sorbello and Antje Weitzel (Berlin, 2010), 120.

---

Billing, Joana, Lind, Maria and Nilsson, Lars, eds. *Taking the matter into common hands: on contemporary art and collaborative practices*. Black Dog Publishing, 2007.

Bishop, Clare. "The social turn: Collaboration and its discontents." *Artforum* 44, no. 6 (2005): 178.

Buckland, David. Cape Farewell accessed 17 August 2017  
<http://capefarewell.com/>

Cezar, A. 'Residencies.' *ArteZine*, Winter 2012.

Charnley, Kim. "Dissensus and the politics of collaborative practice." *Art & the Public Sphere* 1, no. 1 (2011): 37-53. 37

Foster, Hal. *The Return of the Real: The Avant-garde at the End of the Century*. MIT Press, 1996.

Glenn, George. interview with author, July 2015, Prince

---

asdada

Albert, Saskatchewan, Canada.

---

Goldbard, Arlene. *New creative community: The art of cultural development*. New Village Press, 2006.

Hagoort, Eric et al. eds "The ON-AiR Workshops Manual". Netherlands, Trans Artists. Accessed 8 August 2017  
[http://transartists.org/sites/default/files/attachments/On\\_AiR\\_Manual\\_Workshops.pdf](http://transartists.org/sites/default/files/attachments/On_AiR_Manual_Workshops.pdf)

Helguera, Pablo. *Education for socially engaged art: A materials and techniques handbook*. Jorge Pinto Books, 2011.

iAIR International Artist Residency Program, RMIT University accessed on 17 August 2017  
<https://www.rmit.edu.au/about/our-education/academic-schools/art/industry/international-artists-in-residence-program>.

Kenins, Laura. (2013). Escapists and jet-setters: residencies and sustainability, C Magazine, The Visual Arts Foundation: 8.

Kester, Grant. "Another turn (The 'Social

---



asdada

Turn-Collaboration and Its Discontents' by Claire  
Bishop)." *Artforum International* 44, no. 9 (2006): 22-22.

---

Kocache, Moukhtar. "Setting the Record Straight: Towards a  
More Nuanced Conversation on Residencies and Capital."  
Artzine Winter (2012). Accessed 8 August 2017 at  
[http://arteeast.org/quarterly/setting-the-record-straight-to  
wards-a-more-nuanced-conversation-on-residencies-and-capital  
/?issues\\_season=winter&issues\\_year=2012](http://arteeast.org/quarterly/setting-the-record-straight-towards-a-more-nuanced-conversation-on-residencies-and-capital/?issues_season=winter&issues_year=2012)

Kostelanetz, Richard. *SoHo: the rise and fall of an  
artists' colony*. Psychology Press, 2003.

Lacy, Suzanne. "Cultural pilgrimages and metaphoric  
journeys." *Mapping the terrain: New genre public art* (1995):  
19-47.

Lubbren, Nina. *Rural artists' colonies in Europe, 1870-1910*.  
Manchester University Press, 2001.

Mulligan, Martin and Smith, Pia. "Art, governance and  
the turn to community: Lessons from a national action  
research project on community art and local

asdada

government in Australia." *Journal of Arts & Communities* 2,  
no. 1 (2011): 27-40.

---

Performance Space/ArtHouse, NOMAD: Time Place Space,  
accessed 4 August 2017  
<https://www.melbourne.vic.gov.au/SiteCollectionDocuments/art-s-house-2016-season-2.pdf>

Pryor, Gregory. "Polemic: Socially engaged FIFO  
art?." *Artlink* 32, no. 1 (2012): 14.

Ptak, Anna. ed. RE-tooling RESIDENCIES: A Closer Look at  
the Mobility of Art Professionals. (CCA Ujazdowski  
Castle & A-I-R Laboratory Web Design: Berber Studio, Warsaw,  
2011) 272.

Saskatchewan Arts Board. Cornerstone for culture: A history  
of the Saskatchewan Arts Board from 1948 to 1978. Regina:  
Saskatchewan Arts Board, 1979.

Sedgwick, Georgia. "We can work it out: New style  
residencies in Asia." *Artlink* 27, no. 4 (2007): 55.

asdada

The Banff Centre for the Arts and Creativity accessed May  
14, 2015 at [www.banffcentre.ca/programs](http://www.banffcentre.ca/programs).

---

Thompson, Nato. ed. *Living as form: Socially engaged art from 1991-2011*. MIT Press, 2012.

Turner, Caroline. *Art and social change*. Pandanus Books, (2005).

Ukeles, Mierle Laderman. "Mierle Laderman Ukeles." (1995): 184-193.

Wiseman, Carter. *A place for the arts: the MacDowell Colony, 1907-2007*. Univ Pr of New England, 2006.

Zeplin, Pamela. "Trojan Tactics in the Art Academy: Rethinking the Artist-in-Residency Programme." PhD dissertation. 2009, Otago Polytechnic.

{jcomments off}

asdada

aasd

---